This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Atexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,350	07/06/2000	Louis H. Sciupac	DTC 99-09	6397
3897 7:	590 08/05/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHNECK & SCHNECK			LIPMAN, JACOB	
P.O. BOX 2-E SAN JOSE, CA 95109-0005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA	A 93109-0003		2134	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/05/2000	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OP -
	09/611,350	SCIUPAC, LOUIS H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Lipman	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to exply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>May 2004</u> .		
,	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 May 2004</u> is/are:	,	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			D 4 404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applica Iiority documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition Noved in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/20 and 4/22 2004</u>. 	. 🗖	Patent Application (PTO	-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/611,350

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDSs) submitted on 4/20/2004 and 4/22/2004 have been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. The drawing corrections were received on 5/18/2004. These corrections are acceptable.

Specification

3. The specification corrections were received on 5/18/2004. These corrections are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valerij et al., US Patent number 5,790,662.

With regard to claims 1, 3, 8 and 13, Valerij discloses a secure transactions system including information carriers (column 1 lines 41-44) distributed to users (column 2 lines 50-51), each having data storage medium (column 1 lines 48-50) to store encrypted (column 6 lines 26-31) messages, keys, signatures and digital certificates (column 1 line 50 and column 5 lines 6-

Application/Control Number: 09/611,350

Art Unit: 2134

15), and a drive (column 3 lines 37-39) connected to a computer (column 8 lines 18-25) having communication protocols for all communication which allow encryption of the messages (column 5 lines 52-57).

Valerij discloses the carrier having a processing circuit (column 1 lines 8-9), which carries out cryptographic functions for the system. The circuit exists in the card, as apposed to being in the drive, to authenticate the drive (column 5 lines 57-65). Removing this security check in a secure system, with tamper-resistant drives to save money would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The examiner takes official notice that tamper-resistant drives are used to add security to a system. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a tamper-resistant drive in the system disclosed by Valerij to add security, and allow for cheaper carriers to be needed.

With regard to claim 2, the examiner takes official notice that network communications are commonly encrypted. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt network communications in the system disclosed by Valerij to make the system more secure.

With regard to claims 4-7, Valerij discloses the media is optical (column 1 lines 48-49).

With regard to claims 9, 10 and 12, Valerij discloses a PIN is stored in the carrier (column 6 lines 56-58).

With regard to claim 11, Valerij partitions the information into the data storage of the circuit, and the optical markings, each containing the signature (column 1 lines 45-52).

Application/Control Number: 09/611,350

Art Unit: 2134

With regards to claims 14-17, Valerij discloses that aspects of the system are modifiable (column 7 lines 22-30).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the rejection of the prior office action suggests removing a security check from Valerij, which teaches against the principle operation of the invention. The examiner points out that the prior office action states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a tamper-proof drive could be substituted for this check. In this obvious substitution there would be no loss of security, and it does not teach away from Valerij.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
HOHNOLOGY CENTER 21C.